

Public Notice: Regarding the recent Unsanctioned Vote Of No Confidence for members of The South African Assembly and Southern African States Assembly

The Meeting that was held by the people claiming to be 'the highest Authority' of the assembly is hereby deemed to be unlawful within the accepted processes and protocols of the assembly.

The vote was undertaken without any sufficient evidences presented, only a so-called 'failure to answer' and other internal messages that do not constitute evidences, but were presented as such. The investigation that was not sanctioned by the Ombudsman, or failing the Ombudsman resolution, sanctioned by the General Assembly.

The Assembly has mechanisms to address differences of opinion, matters that need clarification, and decisions made that may affect the assembly in a negative way. None of these processes were followed. An investigation was launched by a member that had no authority to do so, based on statements that were made without documentation that supported those statements.

The meeting was held outside of assembly platforms, advertised by people that are not part of our assembly, and indeed are members of another group calling themselves an assembly. This group has not been recognised by the international assemblies. Further to this, the Marshal at Arms was on a Coordinator sanctioned Time Out, and this time out was approved by All Committee members at a vote.

The individual bringing the accusations had resigned from the assembly, and has failed to return property belonging to the assembly as per her signed affirmation on record with the assembly. She is using assembly logo's , identity, and documentation to make it look like she is speaking on behalf of the assembly, she is not. The Marshal at Arms is doing the same thing.

The individual running the unlawful investigation was put on a time-out by the coordinator for amongst other things threatening volunteers with arrest or other coercive methods in contradiction to his authority and role as marshal at arms, and in contradiction to the assembly's ethos as a whole. We are all volunteers, and should be respected as such.

The matter as a whole is with our elected Ombudsman, who in my experience is fair, impartial and well suited for his role. Even though there was no evidence brought by the accuser at the time of the unsanctioned investigation, the matter is being thoroughly investigated by the Ombudsman, who has authority to do so.

Any corrective measures that are suggested by the Ombudsman will be followed and procedures and protocols will be introduced to avoid any pitfalls that we may have overlooked, so as to avoid future happenings that may upset the building of our Assembly.

The Assembly, all its committee leads, the co-coordinator, and the country coordinator do not accept the unlawful vote in anyway whatsoever. We will continue to progress our assembly, and serve the men and women who are reclaiming their living status on the land and soil jurisdiction.

Once the Ombudsman has made his findings, he will present the evidences and facts at an Authorised General Assembly meeting, his findings will be accepted or rejected by Nationals that have the necessary standing to accept or reject his findings.

I include, as an addendum, certain facts that have come to light during this attack on the integrity of the people doing the hard work of the assembly.

By: pierre-charl Odendaal

GFG Affirmed Coordinator for South Africa

Elected Country Coordinator for The South African Assembly, and, The Southern African States Assembly.